

REARRANGEMENT INVARIANT SUBSPACES OF LORENTZ FUNCTION SPACES

BY

N. L. CAROTHERS*

ABSTRACT

The main result is that for $2 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ the only subspaces of the Lorentz function space $L_{pq}[0, 1]$ which are isomorphic to r.i. function spaces on $[0, 1]$ are, up to equivalent renormings, $L_{pq}[0, 1]$ and $L_2[0, 1]$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove that the only subspaces of the classical Lorentz function spaces $L_{pq}[0, 1]$, $2 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, which are isomorphic to rearrangement invariant function spaces on $[0, 1]$ are, up to equivalent renormings, $L_{pq}[0, 1]$ and $L_2[0, 1]$. This extends a result of W. B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman and L. Tzafriri [7] which states that for $2 \leq p < \infty$ the only r.i. subspaces of $L_p[0, 1]$ are, up to equivalent renormings, $L_p[0, 1]$ and $L_2[0, 1]$. In fact, we actually prove a similar result for a much larger class of Lorentz function spaces which includes the Lorentz-Zygmund spaces $L_{pq;\alpha}$, $2 \leq q < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ [3]. Our main result is Theorem 1 which states that for regular, submultiplicative weights $w(x)$ and $2 \leq p < \infty$, the only r.i. subspaces of the Lorentz function space $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ are, up to equivalent renormings, $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ and $L_2[0, 1]$. Theorem 2 gives the converse, that is, if $w(x)$ is regular, $1 < p < \infty$, and if $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ and $L_2[0, 1]$ are the only r.i. subspaces of $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$, then $w(x)$ is submultiplicative. That submultiplicativity is the proper condition to place on the weight $w(x)$ is suggested by the analogous statement for Orlicz function spaces from [7], and also by similar results of Z. Altshuler, P. G. Cassaza and B. L. Lin [2] on Lorentz sequence spaces. In the final section an example is given which indicates the difficulty in classifying subspaces of $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ when $w(x)$ is not submultiplicative.

Our notation is standard and follows that of [8] and [7]. We denote by $|A|$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set A of \mathbb{R} . If f is a measurable function we denote by d_f the distribution function of $|f|$, that is,

*This is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis, prepared at the Ohio State University under the supervision of W. B. Johnson.

Received March 13, 1981

$$d_f(t) = |\{s : |f(s)| > t\}|,$$

and we denote by f^* the decreasing rearrangement of $|f|$, that is,

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : d_f(s) \leq t\}.$$

Let $w(x)$ be a non-increasing, strictly positive function on $I = (0, 1]$ or $(0, \infty)$ for which $\int_0^1 w(t)dt = 1$, and let $S(x) = \int_0^x w(t)dt$. Then for $1 \leq p < \infty$ the norm of a function f in $L_{w,p}(I)$ is defined by

$$\|f\| = \left(\int_I f^*(t)^p w(t) dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

A simple integration-by-parts argument shows that we may also write

$$\|f\| = \left(\int_0^\infty S(d_f(t)) d(t^p) \right)^{1/p}.$$

The weight $w(x)$ is called *regular* if $\inf_x S(2x)/S(x) > 1$, and *submultiplicative* if there is a constant $C < \infty$ such that $w(xy) \leq Cw(x)w(y)$ for all x, y .

Note that for $w(t) = (q/p)t^{q/p-1}$, $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, we have $L_{w,q}(I) \equiv L_{pq}(I)$, and for $w(t) = c(p, q, \alpha) \cdot t^{q/p-1} \cdot (1 + |\log t|)^{\alpha q}$, $1 \leq q < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$, we have $L_{w,q}(I) \equiv L_{pq;\alpha}(I)$, where $c(p, q, \alpha)$ is a constant chosen to satisfy $\int_0^1 w(t)dt = 1$. Also note that in each of these cases $w(x)$ is both regular and submultiplicative.

It is easy to see that $L_{w,p}$ is p -convex with constant 1 for any weight $w(x)$ and any $1 \leq p < \infty$; the following theorem, which is a synthesis of known results, gives necessary and sufficient conditions for $L_{w,p}$ to be q -concave for some $q < \infty$:

THEOREM ([6], [1]). *For $1 < p < \infty$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $L_{w,p}$ is uniformly convex,
- (ii) $L_{w,p}$ is q -concave for some $q < \infty$,
- (iii) $w(x)$ is regular,
- (iv) there exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that $xw(x) \leq S(x) \leq Cxw(x)$ for all x .

Consequently [8, theorem 2.c.6] for $p > 1$ the Haar system $(h_{n,i})_{n=0, i=1}^{2^n}$, defined by $h_{0,1} \equiv 1$ and for $n \geq 1$ by

$$h_{n,i}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [(2i-2)2^{-n-1}, (2i-1)2^{-n-1}), \\ -1 & \text{if } t \in [(2i-1)2^{-n-1}, 2i \cdot 2^{-n-1}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

forms an unconditional basis for $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ exactly when $w(x)$ is regular.

We will also make use of the dilation operators D_s , $0 < s < \infty$. If $I = [0, \infty)$ these operators are defined by $(D_s f)(t) = f(t/s)$, $0 \leq t < \infty$, and if $I = [0, 1]$ we define

$$(D_s f)(t) = \begin{cases} f(t/s) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq s, \\ 0 & \text{if } s < t \quad (\text{in case } s < 1), \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Note that if $I = [0, \infty)$ or $I = [0, 1]$ and $s \leq 1$, the distribution of $D_s f$ is $s \cdot d_f$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{t : |D_s f|(t) > a\}| &= |\{t : |f(t/s)| > a\}| \\ &= s \cdot |\{t : |f(t)| > a\}|. \end{aligned}$$

2. The proof of our main result requires the use of several deep results from [7]. Of particular importance are theorem 2.1 and theorem 6.1 of [7] which we state below:

THE CLASSIFICATION FORMULA. *For every $M \geq 1$, $C \geq 1$ and every integer $m \geq 1$ there exists a constant $D = D(M, C, m) < \infty$ such that if $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a finite M -symmetric normalized basic sequence in a Banach lattice Y which is 2-convex and $2m$ -concave with both constants $\leq C$ then, for every choice of scalars $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} D^{-1} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i \right\| &\leq \max \left\{ \left(\sum_{\pi} \left\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{\pi(i)} y_i| \right\|^{2m} / n! \right)^{1/2m}, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 / n \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ &\leq D \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

where \sum_{π} refers to summation over all permutations π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

THE CLASSIFICATION THEOREM. *Let X be a r.i. function space on $[0, 1]$ for which the Haar system is an unconditional basis. Let Y be a r.i. function space on $[0, 1]$ or $[0, \infty)$ which does not contain uniformly isomorphic copies of l_{∞}^n for all n . If X embeds isomorphically into Y then one of the following three (non-exclusive) possibilities holds:*

(i) *There exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that*

$$\|f\|_Y \leq C \|f\|_X$$

for every $f \in X$.

(ii) *The Haar system in X is equivalent to a sequence of disjointly supported functions in Y .*

(iii) X is equal to $L_2[0, 1]$ or $L_2[0, \infty)$ up to an equivalent renorming.

The proof of Theorem 1 begins with an application of the Classification Theorem; however, Case (ii) may be excluded when $Y = L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ for $w(x)$ regular and $p \geq 2$. For $p > 2$ this is easy to see. Indeed, by [5], every disjoint normalized sequence in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_p . In particular, $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ ($p > 2$) cannot contain a disjoint sequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_2 . For $p = 2$ we need the following lemma which was first noticed by G. Schechtman:

LEMMA 1. *Let X be a r.i. function space on $[0, 1]$ for which the Haar system is an unconditional basis. If the Haar basis in X is equivalent to a sequence of disjoint functions in $L_{w,2}[0, 1]$, then X is equal to $L_2[0, 1]$ up to an equivalent renorming.*

PROOF. Suppose that $(h_{n,i})$, the Haar basis in X , is C -equivalent to a disjointly supported sequence $(f_{n,i})$ in $L_{w,2}[0, 1]$. We first show that there is an infinite subset $M \subset \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$(*) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in M} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} h_{n,i} \right\|_X \stackrel{D}{\sim} \left(\sum_{n \in M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} h_{n,i} \right\|_X^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for every choice of scalars $(a_{n,i})_{n \in M, i=1}^{2^n}$, where $D = 2C^2$. To see this, choose inductively a subsequence (n_k) and a sequence $\varepsilon_k \searrow 0$ which for $k \geq 1$ satisfy:

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_k}} |\text{supp } f_{n_k,i}| < \varepsilon_k$, and
- (2) if $|A| < \varepsilon_{k+1}$, then $\|\chi_A \cdot f\| < \frac{1}{2}\|f\|$ for $f \in [f_{n_k,i}]_{i=1}^{2^{n_k}}$.

Set $M = \{n_k : k \geq 1\}$. Then, for any scalars $(a_{n,i})_{n \in M, i=1}^{2^n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n \in M} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} h_{n,i} \right\|_X^2 &\geq C^{-2} \cdot \left\| \sum_{n \in M} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} f_{n,i} \right\|^2 \\ &\geq C^{-2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}^{\varepsilon_k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_k}} a_{n_k,i} f_{n_k,i} \right)^*(t) w(t) dt \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} C^{-2} \sum_{n \in M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} f_{n,i} \right\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} C^{-4} \cdot \sum_{n \in M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} h_{n,i} \right\|_X^2, \end{aligned}$$

and since $L_{w,2}[0, 1]$ is 2-convex,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{n \in M} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} h_{n,i} \right\|_X^2 &\leq C^2 \left\| \sum_{n \in M} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} f_{n,i} \right\|^2 \\
&\leq C^2 \cdot \sum_{n \in M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} f_{n,i} \right\|^2 \\
&\leq C^4 \cdot \sum_{n \in M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} a_{n,i} h_{n,i} \right\|_X^2
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 1, \dots, 2^l$, define

$$x_{l,k}(t) = \begin{cases} h_{n_k+i,i}(t) & \text{if } t \in [(k-1)2^{-l}, k2^{-l}) \cap \text{supp } h_{n_k+i,i} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence $(x_{l,k})_{k=1}^{2^l}$ has the same distribution as $(h_{l,k})_{k=1}^{2^l}$, and hence for any scalars $(a_k)_{k=1}^{2^l}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2^l} a_k x_{l,k} \right\|_X = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2^l} a_k h_{l,k} \right\|_X,$$

while from (*) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2^l} a_k x_{l,k} \right\|_X \sim \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2^l} |a_k|^2 \|x_{l,k}\|_X^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, X is equal to $L_2[0, 1]$ up to an equivalent renorming. \square

REMARK 1. The Classification Theorem now yields that, for $w(x)$ regular and $1 < p < \infty$, $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ has unique r.i. structure on $[0, 1]$. That is, if X is a r.i. function space on $[0, 1]$ which is isomorphic to $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$, then $X = L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ up to an equivalent norm.

A major reduction in the proof of Theorem 1 entails comparing an arbitrary finite symmetric sequence in $L_{w,p}$ to a disjointly supported, equi-distributed sequence. The next lemma indicates the behavior of such a sequence when the weight $w(x)$ is submultiplicative (or, what is equivalent for $w(x)$ regular, when $S(x)$ is submultiplicative).

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ we denote by $z_{n,i}$ the characteristic function of the interval $[(i-1)/n, i/n)$.

LEMMA 2. Let $S(x)$ be submultiplicative with constant C and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a disjointly supported sequence in $L_{w,p}$ and each f_i has the same distribution, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i \right\| \leq C^{1/p} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|,$$

for every choice of scalars $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$.

PROOF. Since $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a disjoint 1-symmetric sequence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* f_i \right\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{*p} |f_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^{*p} - a_{k+1}^{*p}) \left| \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \right|^p \right\}^{1/p} \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $(a_i^*)_{i=1}^n$ is the decreasing rearrangement of $(|a_i|)_{i=1}^n$ and $a_{n+1}^* = 0$. And, since $L_{w,p}$ is p -convex with constant 1, it follows that

$$(**) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^{*p} - a_{k+1}^{*p}) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \right\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now, since $S(x)$ is submultiplicative, and since each f_i has the same distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \right\|^p &= \int_0^\infty S(kd_{f_i}(t)) d(t^p) \\ &= \int_0^\infty S\left(\frac{k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_{f_i}(t)\right) d(t^p) \\ &\leq C \cdot S\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this calculation with (**) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i \right\| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^{*p} - a_{k+1}^{*p}) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C^{1/p} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right\| \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^{*p} - a_{k+1}^{*p}) S\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= C^{1/p} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right\| \cdot \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{*p} \cdot [S(k/n) - S((k-1)/n)] \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= C^{1/p} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z_{n,k} \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2. Note that (**) holds for any disjointly supported 1-symmetric sequence $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$ in $L_{w,p}$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and any weight $w(x)$.

The next lemma is a simple observation which will prove useful in the sequel:

LEMMA 3. Let $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$ be disjointly supported in $L_{w,p}[0, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right\|^p \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|D_n f_i\|^p.$$

PROOF. Since $S(x)$ is concave,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right\|^p &= \int_0^\infty S\left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_{f_i}(t)\right) d(t^p) \\ &\cong \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty S(nd_{f_i}(t)) d(t^p) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|D_n f_i\|^p. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We are now prepared to describe the process by which an arbitrary finite symmetric sequence in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ may be compared to a disjointly supported, equi-distributed sequence. To this end we first require the notion of a symmetrically exchangeable sequence [4] (cf. section 1 and section 7 of [7] for applications of symmetrically exchangeable sequences in L_p -spaces and Orlicz spaces).

Let $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a finite M -symmetric sequence in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$. Let Π_n be the set of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $\{I_{\pi, \varepsilon} : \pi \in \Pi_n, \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$ be a partition of $[0, 1]$ into mutually disjoint intervals, each of length $1/2^n n!$. Let $\psi_{\pi, \varepsilon} : I_{\pi, \varepsilon} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the unique linear, increasing, onto map. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define $y_i \in L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ by

$$y_i(t) = \varepsilon_i x_{\pi(i)}(\psi_{\pi, \varepsilon}(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in I_{\pi, \varepsilon}.$$

$(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a symmetrically exchangeable sequence; that is,

$$\text{dist}(\varepsilon_1 y_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_n y_{\pi(n)}) = \text{dist}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \quad \text{for any } \pi, \varepsilon.$$

In particular, each y_i has the same distribution and $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a 1-symmetric sequence in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$.

It is shown in [4] and [7] that a finite M -symmetric sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in L_p or in an Orlicz space is M -equivalent to the symmetrically exchangeable sequence $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ obtained from $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ by the above process. This is not the case even in L_{pq} , in general, as is demonstrated by example 10.7 of [7]. However, in the presence of a submultiplicative weight, a somewhat broader notion of equivalence is available. To see this, we will require further notation which will facilitate computations involving the square function $(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i y_i|^2)^{1/2}$.

For $\pi \in \Pi_n$, define $J_\pi = \bigcup \{I_{\pi, \varepsilon} : \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$ and $\varphi_\pi : J_\pi \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\varphi_\pi = \sum_\varepsilon \psi_{\pi, \varepsilon}$. Since $|J_\pi| = 1/n!$ and since $|\varphi_\pi^{-1}(A)| = |A|/n!$ for $A \subset [0, 1]$, the distribution of $f(\varphi_\pi(t))$ is equal to $(1/n!)d_f$ for any measurable function f . In other words, if we define an operator D_π by

$$(D_\pi f)(t) = \begin{cases} f(\varphi_\pi(t)) & \text{if } t \in J_\pi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then $D_\pi f$ and $D_{(1/n)}f$ have the same distribution. Using this notation, a simple computation shows that $(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i y_i|^2)^{1/2}$ may now be written as $\sum_\pi D_\pi (\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i x_{\pi(i)}|^2)^{1/2}$. In light of these remarks we have:

LEMMA 4. *Let $w(x)$ be regular and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Given $M < \infty$, there exists a constant $C = C(M, w, p) < \infty$ such that if $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a finite M -symmetric sequence in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ and if $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ is the symmetrically exchangeable sequence obtained from $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ by the process described above, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i \right\|$$

for every choice of scalars $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$.

PROOF. Since $w(x)$ is regular, $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ is q -concave for some $q < \infty$, and hence by [8, theorem 1.d.6] there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(w, p) < \infty$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |f_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \leq \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t) f_i \right\| dt \leq C_1 \cdot \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |f_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|$$

for any $(f_i)_{i=1}^k$ in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$, where $(r_i)_{i=1}^k$ are the Rademacher functions on $[0, 1]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i \right\| &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i y_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\| \sum_\pi D_\pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i x_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 3 and by the fact that $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ is M -symmetric, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i \right\| &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_\pi \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i x_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C_1^{-1} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_\pi \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_{\pi(i)} \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot C_1^{-1} \cdot M^{-2} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3. It is not difficult to see that $\|(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2)^{1/2}\| = \|(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2)^{1/2}\|$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| &\leq C_1 \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| = C_1 \cdot \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \cdot C_1 \cdot M \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Our last lemma shows that the conclusion of Lemma 2 still holds for symmetrically exchangeable sequences which are not necessarily disjoint. It is convenient in what follows to have $w(x)$ defined on all of $(0, \infty)$. If $w(x)$ is regular and submultiplicative, a simple calculation shows that by defining $\tilde{w}(x) = w(x)$ if $x \leq 1$ and $\tilde{w}(x) = w(1)$ for $x > 1$, we may assume $w(x)$ is regular and submultiplicative on $(0, \infty)$.

LEMMA 5. *Let $w(x)$ be regular and submultiplicative and let $2 \leq p < \infty$. There exists a constant $C = C(w, p) < \infty$ such that if $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a symmetrically exchangeable sequence in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i \right\| \leq C \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|$$

for every choice of scalars $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$.

PROOF. Let $(\tilde{y}_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a disjointly supported sequence in $L_{w,p}[0, \infty)$ with $d_{\tilde{y}_i} = d_{y_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, by Lemma 2, there is a constant $C_2 = C_2(w, p) < \infty$ so that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \tilde{y}_i \right\| \leq C_2 \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{y}_i \right\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|,$$

and by corollary 7.3 of [7],

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{y}_i \right\| \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\|.$$

Using these observations and the fact that $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ is symmetrically exchangeable, the Classification Formula simplifies substantially; in particular, there is a constant $C_3 = C_3(w, p) < \infty$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i \right\| &\leq C_3 \cdot \max \left\{ \left\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i y_i| \right\|, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 / n \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ &\leq C_3 \cdot \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \tilde{y}_i \right\|, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 / n \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ &\leq C_2 \cdot C_3 \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| \cdot \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|_{L_2} \right\} \\ &= C_2 \cdot C_3 \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from the fact that $\|f\| \geq \|f\|_{L_2}$ for $f \in L_{w,p}$ ($p \geq 2$). \square

As a consequence of the preceding remarks we now have:

THEOREM 1. *Let $w(x)$ be regular and submultiplicative, and let $2 \leq p < \infty$. Let X be a r.i. function space on $[0, 1]$ which is isomorphic to a subspace of $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$. Then, up to an equivalent norm, $X = L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ or $X = L_2[0, 1]$.*

PROOF. By the Classification Theorem and the remarks thereafter, if X is not isomorphic to $L_2[0, 1]$, then there exists a constant $C_1 < \infty$ such that $\|f\| \leq C_1 \cdot \|f\|_X$ for all $f \in X$.

Let T be an isomorphism from X into $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ and set $x_{n,i} = Tz_{n,i}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $M = \|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\|$, then for each n , $(x_{n,i})_{i=1}^n$ is M -symmetric in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ with $\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_{n,i}\| \leq \|T\|$.

For each n , let $(y_{n,i})_{i=1}^n$ be the symmetrically exchangeable sequence derived from $(x_{n,i})_{i=1}^n$ in the manner described preceding Lemma 4. By Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, there is a constant $C_2 = C_2(T, w, p) < \infty$ such that for all n and scalars $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_{n,i} \right\| \leq C_2 \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_{n,i} \right\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|.$$

Now $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i}\|_X \leq \|T^{-1}\| \cdot \|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_{n,i}\|$, and by Remark 3, $\|\sum_{i=1}^n y_{n,i}\| \leq C_2 \|\sum_{i=1}^n x_{n,i}\| \leq C_2 \|T\|$; hence, there is a constant $C_3 = C_3(T, w, p) < \infty$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|_X \leq C_3 \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{n,i} \right\|$$

for all n and scalars $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Since the dyadic simple functions are dense in $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$, we have shown that

$$C_1^{-1} \cdot \|f\| \leq \|f\|_X \leq C_3 \cdot \|f\| \quad \text{for all } f \in X,$$

which completes the proof. \square

In order to prove the converse of Theorem 1, we need only examine a certain class of sublattices of $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ (cf. section 7 of [7]). Given measurable functions f and g on $[0, 1]$, define $f \otimes g$ on $[0, 1]^2$ by $(f \otimes g)(s, t) = f(s) \cdot g(t)$. Since $(f \otimes g)^*$ is again measurable on $[0, 1]$, we may define $\|f \otimes g\| = \|(f \otimes g)^*\|$. If $g \in L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ is fixed, it is possible to define a r.i. function space X_g on $[0, 1]$ which is also a closed sublattice of $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$. Indeed, the space X_g is defined to be the completion of the integrable simple functions under the norm $\|f\|_{X_g} = \|f \otimes g\|$. To see that X_g is closed observe that since $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ is p -convex:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(s) \otimes g(t)\| &= \left(\int_0^1 \|f(s) \otimes g(t+u)\|^p du \right)^{1/p} \\
&\cong \left\| \left(\int_0^1 |f(s) \otimes g(t+u)|^p du \right)^{1/p} \right\| \quad (\text{where } t+u \text{ is taken mod } 1) \\
&= \|f\| \cdot \|g\|_{L_p}.
\end{aligned}$$

With this notation it is now possible to give a simple proof of:

THEOREM 2. *Let $w(x)$ be regular and $1 < p < \infty$. If X_g is isomorphic to $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ for all $g \in L_{w,p}[0, 1]$, then $S(x)$ is submultiplicative.*

PROOF. By Remark 1, if X_g is isomorphic to $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ for $\|g\| = 1$, then there exists a constant $C_g < \infty$ such that $\|f \otimes g\| = \|f\|_{X_g} \leq C_g \cdot \|f\|$ for all $f \in L_{w,p}[0, 1]$. Now, since the mapping $f \rightarrow f \otimes g$ is linear, the Uniform Boundedness Principle gives a constant $C < \infty$ such that $\|f \otimes g\| \leq C \|f\| \|g\|$ for all $f, g \in L_{w,p}[0, 1]$. In particular, if $f = \chi_{[0,x]}$, $g = \chi_{[0,y]}$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$, we have:

$$S(xy) = \|f \otimes g\|^p \leq C^p \|f\|^p \|g\|^p = C^p S(x)S(y),$$

which completes the proof. \square

3. Finally, we present an example (adapted from [2]) which demonstrates the difficulty in classifying the subspaces X_g of $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ if $w(x)$ is not submultiplicative.

EXAMPLE. Given $1 < p < \infty$, there is a Lorentz function space $L_{w,p}[0, 1]$ having a closed sublattice which is not isomorphic to any Lorentz function space $L_{v,p}[0, 1]$.

PROOF. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $w(x) = x^{-1/2}(1 - \log x)^{-2}$. Let $g(x) = x^{-1/2p}$. Then $g \in L_{w,p}[0, 1]$, since

$$\int_0^1 g(t)^p w(t) dt = \int_0^1 t^{-1}(1 - \log t)^{-2} dt = 1.$$

Now, since $w(x)$ is regular, X_g is superreflexive. Hence, if X_g were isomorphic to some $L_{v,p}[0, 1]$, then $v(x)$ must be regular and by Remark 1 we must have $X_g = L_{v,p}[0, 1]$ up to an equivalent norm. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
xv(x) &\sim \|\chi_{(0,x)}\|_{L_{v,p}}^p \sim \|\chi_{(0,x)}\|_{X_g}^p \\
&= \int_0^x g(t/x)^p w(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $v(x) \sim x^{-1} \int_0^x g(t/x)^p w(t) dt = x^{-1/2} (1 - \log x)^{-1}$. Now a simple calculation yields that

$$(g \otimes g)^*(t) \sim t^{-1/2p} (1 - \log t)^{1/2p},$$

and thus $g \in X_g$ since

$$\int_0^1 (g \otimes g)^*(t)^p w(t) dt \sim \int_0^1 t^{-1} (1 - \log t)^{-3/2} dt = 2,$$

but $g \notin L_{v,p}[0, 1]$ since

$$\int_0^1 g(t)^p v(t) dt \sim \int_0^1 t^{-1} (1 - \log t)^{-1} dt = \infty.$$

This contradiction completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

1. Z. Altshuler, *Uniform convexity in Lorentz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math. **20** (1975), 260–274.
2. Z. Altshuler, P. G. Cassaza and B. L. Lin, *On symmetric basic sequences in Lorentz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math. **15** (1973), 140–155.
3. C. Bennett and K. Rudnick, *On Lorentz-Zygmund spaces*, submitted.
4. J. Bretagnolle and D. Dacuhna-Castelle, *Applications de l'étude de certaines formes aléatoires*, Ann. École Normale Supérieure **2** (1969), 437–480.
5. T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and L. Tzafriri, *On Banach lattices and spaces having local unconditional structure with applications to Lorentz function spaces*, J. Approximation Theory **13** (1975), 297–312.
6. I. Halperin, *Uniform convexity in function spaces*, Duke Math. J. **21** (1954), 195–204.
7. W. B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman and L. Tzafriri, *Symmetric Structures in Banach Spaces*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., 1979.
8. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
COLUMBUS, OH 43210 USA